



2009 Session

Exhibit 8

**This exhibit is a booklet and it
cannot be scanned therefore
only the cover and table of
content has been scanned to aid
you in your research.**

**The original exhibit is on file at
the Montana Historical Society
and may be viewed there.**

**Montana Historical Society
Archives, 225 N. Roberts, Helena,
MT 59620-1201
Phone (406) 444-4774.**

Scanning by: Susie Hamilton



A REPORT
TO THE
MONTANA
LEGISLATURE

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

Statewide Radio Communications Interoperability

The Office of the Governor

***The Department of
Administration***

***The Statewide Interoperability
Executive Advisory Council***

JANUARY 2009

LEGISLATIVE AUDIT
DIVISION

08P-03

EXHIBIT 8

DATE Feb 4, 09

HB 10

LEGISLATIVE AUDIT DIVISION

Tori Hunthausen, Legislative Auditor
Monica Huyg, Legal Counsel



Deputy Legislative Auditors
James Gillett
Angie Grove

January 2009

The Legislative Audit Committee
of the Montana State Legislature:

This is our performance audit of statewide radio communications interoperability overseen by the State Interoperability Executive Advisory Council in partnership with the Interoperability Montana Project Directors. This report provides the Legislature information about the ongoing development of the project. This report presents program and legislative issues impacting the project and includes recommendations for promoting the success of the project. A written response from the Department of Administration and the Office of the Governor is included at the end of the report. A written response from the Interoperability Montana Project Directors is also included.

We wish to express our appreciation to all project participants for their cooperation and assistance throughout the audit.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tori Hunthausen".

Tori Hunthausen, CPA
Legislative Auditor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figures and Tables	iii
Appointed and Administrative Officials	iv
Report Summary	S-1
CHAPTER I – INTRODUCTION	
Introduction	1
Why Communications Interoperability Is Important.....	1
Audit Objectives	2
Audit Scope and Methodologies.....	2
Report Contents.....	3
CHAPTER II – PROJECT BACKGROUND	
Introduction	5
Why Communications Interoperability Now	5
Radio System Technology Basics	5
Shared Versus Independent	6
Montana Radio Communication History	6
Interoperability Montana Project	7
Consortia Development.....	7
Consortia Success in Lewis and Clark County	8
Montana Interlocal Cooperation Act	9
An Association of Governments.....	9
State Government Involvement	9
The Statewide Interoperability Executive Advisory Council	9
State Administrative Support	9
Communication Interoperability Crosses State and International Borders	10
State Agency Participation	10
Other Partners	11
IM Project Infrastructure to Date	12
IM Project Funding	13
Future IM Funding	14
CHAPTER III – STATUTORY GOVERNANCE AND DEFINED PARTICIPATION	
Introduction	17
Statewide Radio Mandates in Montana	17
Statewide Radio Communications Interoperability Not Statutorily Defined	18
Other States Have Defined Statewide Radio Communication Systems	19
Current Legal Framework Does Not Define Participation	19
IM Project May Not Be Interoperable	20
Legislative Authority Should Establish Montana's Statewide Interoperable Radio System.....	20
IMPD Membership Not Formalized	20
IMPD NonConsortia Membership Appears Inconsistent	21
Federal Guidelines for Governance and Participation	21
IM Project Local Involvement Important	22

CHAPTER IV – STATE AGENCY PARTICIPATION.....	23
Introduction	23
State Agency Communication Systems Represent Significant Assets and Resources	23
No Formal Plan to Migrate State Agencies to the IM System.....	25
State Agency Migration to IM Could Result in Cost Savings	27
Public Safety Concerns	27
DOA Responsible for Information Technology for State Government	27
Other States Have Utilized Formal Migrations Plans.....	28
State Agency Direction Needed.....	29
CHAPTER V – PROMOTING OPERATIONAL SUCCESS	31
Introduction	31
IM Project Lacks Operational Guidance.....	31
Business Planning Needed For Long-Term Project Success.....	31
IM Project Success Uncertain	32
Current IM Business Planning Process Limited	32
Business Planning Would Strengthen IM Project	33
How Other States Fund Statewide Communication Systems	33
Other States Able To Articulate Long-Term Costs	34
How Do We Project Montana's Funding Needs?	34
Potential System Users for the Montana IM System	35
Lewis and Clark County Success Provides Glimpse of Statewide System	35
Funding Options for IM Project.....	36
IM Project Lacks Inventory and Long-Term Maintenance Plans for System	36
IMPD Should Monitor Collective Assets of IM Project.....	37
Operational Best Practices.....	37
Operational Best Practices Will Improve IM System	38
IM Project Staffing Lacks Organizational Plan.....	39
Role of IM Project Staff Unclear	40
IM Project Could Benefit From Organizational Guidance	41
Other State's Radio Communication Systems Organizational Criteria	41
IMPD Should Consider Role of Staff Relative to IM Organizational Development	42
Interoperability Montana Radio Site Progress as of July 2008.....	43
APPENDIX A	A-1
OFFICE AND DEPARTMENT RESPONSES	B-1
Office of the Governor	B-3
Department of Administration and the Statewide Interoperability Executive Advisory Council	B-5
Interoperability Montana Project Directors	B-13

FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures

Figure 1	Basic Components of a Wireless Radio System.....	6
Figure 2	Interoperability Montana Consortia.....	8
Figure 3	IM Organizational Structure	12
Figure 4	Development of IM Operational Functions.....	40

Tables

Table 1	IM Funding as of November 2008	14
Table 2	IM Project Allocations as of November 2008	14
Table 3	State Agency Mobile and Portable Radio Inventory as of November 2008.....	24
Table 4	Estimated Average Annual State Agency Radio Costs and FTE as of	
	November 2008	24
Table 5	Other State's Estimated Cost Per User.....	35